PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

То:					PCT			
see form PCT/ISA/220					WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)			
					Date of maili (day/month/y	•		
Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220					FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below			
·			International filing 17.03.2005	date (d	(day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) 31.03.2004			
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC INV. G06N5/00								
Applicant BRITISH TELECOMMUNICATIONS PUBLIC LIMITED COMPANY								
1.	This opinion co	ontains indication	ons relating to th	ne follo	wing items:			
	☐ Box No. II	Basis of the op	inion		-			
	☐ Box No. III			th rega	rd to novelty,	inventive step and industrial applicability		
	☐ Box No. IV☐ Box No. V	Lack of unity of Reasoned state	ement under Rule	43 <i>bis</i> .	1(a)(i) with re	egard to novelty, inventive step or industrial		
	☐ Box No. VI	applicability; cr Certain docum	tations and explan	nations	supporting su	uch statement		
	☐ Box No. VII		in the internation	al anni	ication			
			ations on the inter					
2.	FURTHER ACT				черношноп			
	wriπen opinion o the applicant cho	if the Internations poses an Authori reau under Rule	al Preliminary Exam tv other than this o	mining one to l	Authority ("IF se the IPFA :	nion will usually be considered to be a PEA") except that this does not apply where and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Searching Authority		
	Submit to the IPE	EA a written reply mailing of Form	/todether, where a	approp	riate with am	of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to nendments, before the expiration of 3 months of 22 months from the priority date,		
	For further option	ns, see Form PC	T/ISA/220.			•		
3.	For further detail	s, see notes to F	orm PCT/ISA/220.		·			
Name	Name and mailing address of the ISA: Date of courthis opinior					Authorized Officer		
	European I	Patent Office - P.B V Rijswijk - Pays B	5818 Patentlaage			Valancia E		
	Tel. +31 70) 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 0 340 - 3016	651 epo nl PCT	T/ISA/21	0	Valencia, E Telephone No. +31 70 340-4568		
	. u		i			1 receptione No. +31 /0 340-4308 "% and "."		

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2005/001009

	Во	x No	o. I Basis of the opinion		
1.	Wit	h re	gard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:		
	\boxtimes	the	e international application in the language in which it was filed		
		a t pu	ranslation of the international application into , which is the language of a translation furnished for the rposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1 (b)).		
2.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:				
	a. t	of material:			
	ĺ		a sequence listing		
	١		table(s) related to the sequence listing		
	b. format of material:				
	l]	on paper		
	{		in electronic form		
	c. ti	me	of filing/furnishing:		
	[contained in the international application as filed.		
	[filed together with the international application in electronic form.		
	[]	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.		
3.		cor	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto is been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional pies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as propriate, were furnished.		
4.	Add	litior	nal comments:		

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2005/001009

	Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability						
	The	The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of					
	⊠	the entire international application					
		claims Nos.					
because:							
	⊠ ·	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 1-50 relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international search (specify):					
		see separate sheet					
		the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):					
		the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):					
		no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos.					
		a meaningful opinion could not be formed without the sequence listing; the applicant did not, within the prescribed time limit:					
		☐ furnish a sequence listing on paper complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Searching Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.					
		☐ furnish a sequence listing in electronic form complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Searching Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.					
		□ pay the required late furnishing fee for the furnishing of a sequence listing in response to an invitation under Rules 13 <i>ter</i> .1(a) or (b).					
		a meaningful opinion could not be formed without the tables related to the sequence listings; the applicant did not, within the prescribed time limit, furnish such tables in electronic form complying with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions, and such tables were not available to the International Searching Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.					
		the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in electronic form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.					
		See Supplemental Box for further details					

10/593589 IAP9/Rec'd PCT/PTO 21 SEP 2006

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/GB2005/001009

Re Item III.

Claims 1-50 constitute subject-matter for which the International Preliminary Examining authority is not required to carry out an international preliminary examination, Article 34(4)(a)(I) PCT, the reasons are explained below:

Claims 1-17, and 32-40 relate respectively to a method of

- "determining a path along some of plurality of points in a virtual environment",
- "determining a path along some of plurality of points in a representation of a real or a virtual environment",
- "controlling the field of view of a virtual entity travelling through a virtual world".

These methods are purely mathematical theories that result in the calculation of a "path", a "route" or "field of view" (claim 17). The mathematical theories include "selecting a start point and a destination point" among "a plurality of initially defined points", "generating a plurality of additional points" along a "line of sight link between two existing points", where the line of sight is also initially defined, and "calculating" a "path based on any combination of new and/or initially defined points". The features of dependent claims 2, 4-9, 11-15 and 33-38 are also features of mathematical theories related to the way in which additional points are "generated".

Claim 3 defines that the "virtual environment comprises a representation of a virtual world". Examples of such a real environment are given in the description of the present application and are: "route planner" (see page 4 and page 31), "history building or design for a future building, or a house that is for sale" (see page 9). However, the mere fact that the virtual environment in which a path is calculated by a mathematical theory does represent some real world, does not imply that the result of the mathematical theory has an effect of a technical character on the real world that is represented. As a matter of facts, the claims do not define any effect of a technical character of the methods once the path is actually calculated. The description does not allow either to define a technical problem that would be solved by the method claimed. In particular, the problems to be solved by the present application that are provided in the description are:

- "provide an automated pathfinding system for the inexperienced user to help them navigate through the virtual world, allowing them to overcome problems of lack of

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/GB2005/001009

familiarity and any frustrations with the traditional interface of self-navigation" (see page 2), - "the result in both cases is for the user to become more disoriented, and to lose their sense of direction" (see page 2),

These problems are not of a technical character. In fact, the output of the methods calculating a path in a virtual environment are to be interpreted by the user for purely mental acts. Such mental acts are to be oriented and get familiar with the virtual world in order to be able to further navigate in it. The output of the methods is not linked in any automatic way to provoke a technical effect on the represented real environment. It is further defined that the input of the methods is "input" by a "human user" (see description page 11), this is defined by claim 10. this feature does also not solve any problem of a technical character.

The same applies, to the corresponding apparatus claims 30, 31 and system claims 17, 18-29, 41-44, and to corresponding storage medium claims 45, 48, computer program claims 46, 49 and computer data claims 47, 50.

The corresponding system and apparatus, however, could involve an effect of a technical character when the instruction of "calculating the path" is actually executed (on a computer). Such effects may cover a small memory space to store the data structure containing the points, or a short processing time when calculating the path. These effects could then lead to a problem of a technical character to be solved. However, none of these effects are supported by the description of the present application, in which no statement about memory space or processing time of the proposed mathematical theory when executed on a computer can be found.

As a consequence, claims 1-50 need not be the subject of international preliminary examination, see Rule 66.1(1)(e) PCT and Rule 66.2(a)(I)PCT.